



Saved

Preview

Continue



Style ▼



Button ▼

More ▼

million-square-foot hyperscale facility may employ fewer than 50 permanent workers. The jobs argument is almost always fictitious at the scale being claimed.

Meanwhile the infrastructure costs are real and are borne by everyone else:

- **Power:** Hyperscale facilities are consuming grid capacity at a rate that is materially affecting electricity prices and reliability for residential and small commercial customers in affected markets. That is a direct wealth transfer from the general public to data center operators.
- **Water:** Cooling water consumption at hyperscale facilities is substantial and in arid western states represents a genuine resource conflict with agriculture and municipal supply.
- **Roads:** Construction traffic and ongoing logistics operations impose road maintenance costs that are rarely internalized by project developers.
- **Transmission:** Grid interconnection upgrades required by large loads are frequently socialized across ratepayers rather than charged to the benefiting customer.

The correct policy position is simple: **no public subsidy of any kind for hyperscale data center construction, operation, or associated infrastructure.** Not tax abatements. Not TIF districts. Not utility rate preferences. Not infrastructure grants. Not state economic development funds.



Settings